

NONSTANDARD FEYNMAN PATH INTEGRAL FOR THE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

KEN LOO

ABSTRACT. Using Nonstandard Analysis, we will provide a rigorous computation for the harmonic oscillator Feynman path integral. The computation will be done without having prior knowledge of the classical path. We will see that properties of classical physics falls out naturally from a purely quantum mechanical point of view. We will assume that the reader is familiar with Nonstandard Analysis.

I. Introduction.

In quantum mechanics, we are interested in finding the wave function which satisfies Schrodinger's equation. Equivalently, we can find the propagator or integral kernel $K(q, q_0, t)$ which satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad i\hbar \frac{\partial K(q, q_0, t)}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta_q + V(q) \right] K(q, q_0, t),$$

$$K(q, q_0, 0) = \delta(q - q_0), \quad q, q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Formally, the wave function is related to the propagator via

$$\varphi(q, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K(q, q_0, t) \phi(q_0) dq_0 = \left\langle K(q, q_0, t), \phi(q_0) \right\rangle,$$

with boundary condition $\varphi(q, 0) = \phi(q)$. In Feynman's formulation of quantum mechanics, he proposed that the propagator is given by a functional integral, also referred to as Feynman path integral or just path integral in physics literature,

$$(1.3) \quad K(q, q_0, t) = \int_{x(0)=q_0}^{x(t)=q} \exp \left\{ \frac{iS[x(s)]}{\hbar} \right\} dx(s) =$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dn}} w_{d,n} \exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} S \{ \vec{x}_{n+1} \dots \vec{x}_0 \} \right] d\vec{x}_1 \dots d\vec{x}_n, \quad \text{where}$$

$$x_0 = q_0, x_{n+1} = q, \epsilon = \frac{t}{n},$$

$$w_{d,n} = \left(\frac{m}{2i\pi\hbar\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d(n+1)}{2}},$$

$$S \{ \vec{x}_{n+1} \dots \vec{x}_0 \} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{x}_j - \vec{x}_{j-1}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 - V(\vec{x}_j) \right].$$

The author would like to give thanks to Photine Tsoukalas

The integrals in the second line of (1.3) are d -dimensional improper Riemann integrals. The integral in the first equality is the purely formal path integral which integrates over all paths $x(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq t$, with $x(0) = q_0$, and $x(t) = q$. The quantity $S[x(s)]$ is the action integral

$$(1.4) \quad S[x(s)] = \int_0^t L(x, \dot{x}) ds, \quad L(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{m}{2} \dot{x}^2 - V(x).$$

The motivation for the notation of the formal path integral is that as n goes to infinity, the sum in the exponent becomes the action integral. In this manner, Feynman was able to deduce Classical Mechanics from Quantum Mechanics through the action integral and $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. In this paper, we will compute the harmonic oscillator path integral without prior knowledge of classical physics. It turns out that properties of classical physics naturally falls out of the computation without taking $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. The computation shows that the path integral separates into the product of two quantities, one independent of $\hbar$, the other dependent on $\hbar$. The quantity which is independent of $\hbar$ contains properties of classical physics. Thus, in some sense we are deviating from the standard interpretation of the path integral being a bridge between Quantum Mechanics and Classical Mechanics via the action integral and $\hbar$. We are considering Quantum Mechanics as purely Quantum Mechanics and extracting properties of Classical Mechanics without prior knowledge of Classical Physics.

Mathematically, the formal integration over paths can not be a rigorously well defined measure theoretic integration because of the oscillatory nature of the integrand(see [1] and [2]). A popular technique to make sense of the (1.3) is to replace t by $-it$ and use the Wiener integral(see [3] and [4]).

In Nonstandard Analysis, we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$ iff ${}^*a_\omega \approx a$ for any infinite natural number $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$, with $\{{}^*a_m\}_{m \in {}^*\mathbb{N}}$ being the $*$ -extension of $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\approx$ means that $a_\omega + h_\omega = a$ where h_ω an infinitesimal. We can use Nonstandard Analysis to define the path integral; the standard part can replace the limit in (1.3). Using Nonstandard Analysis to replace the limit in (1.3) is not a new concept(see [5], [6] and references within), doing so partially solves the problems of the Feynman path integral on the propagator.

We can redefine (1.3) in the following manner: let $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$, $m, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\epsilon = \frac{t}{\omega}$, ${}^*V(x) : {}^*\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ be an internal function, and $x_0 = q_0$, $x_{\omega+1} = q$ be fixed points in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We call the expression:

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^{d\omega}} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}(\omega+1)} \exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{\omega+1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 - {}^*V(x_j) \right] \right] dx_1 \dots dx_\omega$$

an internal functional integral. In (1.5), all integrals are $*$ -transformed improper Riemann integrals. In particular, if for all $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$, the standard part of the internal functional integral exists and it is independent of the choice of ω , we call the standard part a standard functional integral or Feynman path integral and denote it by

$$(1.6) \quad st \int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^{d\omega}} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}(\omega+1)} \exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{\omega+1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 - {}^*V(x_j) \right] \right] dx_1 \dots dx_\omega.$$

Equation (1.6) is just a Nonstandard Analysis way of saying that the limit in (1.3) exists. There still remain the problem of for which class of potentials V the expression (1.5) exists and whether (1.6) actually produces the propagator.

We will demonstrate the usage of (1.5) and (1.6) on the d -dimensional harmonic oscillator path integral. The harmonic oscillator plays a major role in quantum field theory and the recent advances due to Duru and Kleinert in the coulomb potential path integral(see [7] and references within). The harmonic oscillator carries the potential $V(x) = \frac{m\lambda^2}{2}x^2$, its internal functional integral is:

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{*\mathbb{R}^{d\omega}} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i\hbar\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}(\omega+1)} \exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{\omega+1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 - \frac{m}{2} \lambda^2 x_j^2 \right] \right] dx_1 \dots dx_\omega.$$

Notice that each d -dimensional integral factors into d products of one dimensional integrals, thous we shall compute the 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator internal functional integral.

II. The Harmonic Oscillator. There are many ways to compute the harmonic oscillator path integral(see [7], [8], [9] and references within), we will use a method of computation similar to that of [8]. We differ from the popular techniques in that we will do the computation without prior knowledge of the classical path of the harmonic oscillator and we will rigorously do the computation with Nonstandard Analysis. As pointed out earlier, it turns out that properties of Classical Physics falls out naturally from a purely Quantum Mechanical derivation.

It is well known that for $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$, the propagator for the 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator is

$$(2.1) \quad K(q, q_0, t) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i\hbar} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t}} \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{\hbar} \frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t} [(q_0^2 + q^2) \cos \lambda t - 2qq_0] \right\}.$$

Equation (2.1) carries a singularity at $t = \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$. Singularity like that of (2.1) can in fact be given rigorous mathematical meaning if $K(q, q_0, t)$ is interpreted as a distribution(see [10]). Due to the form of (2.1), we would not expect the standard functional integral to exist at $t = \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$ as a function.

The popular method to compute the 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator Feynman path integral is by writing $S[x(s)] = S[x^{cl}(s) + \delta x(s)]$, where $x^{cl}(s)$ is the classical path of the harmonic oscillator which satisfies the equation of motions $\ddot{x}^{cl}(s) = -\lambda^2 x^{cl}(s)$, with the boundary condition $x^{cl}(0) = q_0, x^{cl}(t) = q$. Namely, $x^{cl}(s) = \frac{q \sin \lambda s + q_0 \sin \lambda(t-s)}{\sin \lambda t}$. The action integral becomes

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^t \frac{m}{2} [(\dot{x}^{cl})^2 - \lambda^2 (x^{cl})^2] ds + \int_0^t \frac{m}{2} [(\delta \dot{x})^2 - \lambda^2 (\delta x)^2] ds + \int_0^t m (\dot{x}^{cl} \delta \dot{x} - \lambda^2 x^{cl} \delta x) ds.$$

Using $\delta x(0) = 0 = \delta x(t)$ and the equation of motions of x^{cl} , the last integral is 0 after an integration by parts. Integrating by parts on the first integral

and using the equation of motions of x^{cl} , the first integral becomes $\frac{m}{2}x^{cl}\dot{x}^{cl}\Big|_0^t = \frac{m\lambda}{2\sin\lambda t} [(q^2 + q_0^2)\cos t - 2qq_0]$. Without much concern on the existence and meaning of the path integral, we can write

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{x(0)=q_0}^{x(t)=q} \exp\left\{\frac{iS[x(s)]}{\hbar}\right\} dx(s) = \exp\left\{\frac{im\lambda}{2\hbar\sin\lambda t} [(q^2 + q_0^2)\cos t - 2qq_0]\right\} \int_{\delta x(0)=0}^{\delta x(t)=0} \exp\left\{\frac{iS[\delta x(s)]}{\hbar}\right\} d\delta x(s).$$

The path integral on the right-hand side is the quantum fluctuation; the integral is over all paths which starts from 0 at $s = 0$, and end at 0 at $s = t$. We leave it to the reader to look up the computation of the quantum fluctuation in the literature.

We will give a rigorous treatment of (2.3) by using the time-sliced internal path integral in (1.5) and (1.6). In our work, we do not start with having knowledge of the classical path. We will start with an arbitrary bounded path $w(s)$ which satisfies $w(0) = q_0, w(t) = q$, and separate the propagator into a product of classical and quantum amplitudes. In this approach, we will see that the classical contribution actually comes from Quantum Mechanics without prior knowledge of Classical Mechanics.

To shorten the notation, we write

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 - \frac{m}{2} \lambda^2 x_j^2 \right] = \left(\frac{im}{2\hbar\epsilon} \right) \left[x_0^2 - 2x_0x_1 + x_{n+1}^2 - 2x_nx_{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n 2x_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n 2x_jx_{j-1} - \epsilon^2\lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j^2 \right] =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{im}{2\hbar\epsilon} \right) x^t \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & -1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \right. \\
& \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & & & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \\
& \left. \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} x = \left(\frac{im}{2\hbar\epsilon} \right) (x^t T_n x),
\end{aligned}$$

where T_n is the $(n+2)$ by $(n+2)$ symmetric matrix,

$$(2.5) \quad T_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & S_n & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with S_n being the n by n symmetric matrix $S_n = A_n - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 B_n$, where

$$(2.6) \quad A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and x is the column vector

$$(2.7) \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \\ x_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For notation convenience, we will use a bar instead of $*$ to indicate the $*$ -transforms of matrices, determinate of matrices, and vectors.

We are interested in knowing when the internal functional integral of the harmonic oscillator exists. From (2.1), it would be reasonable to postulate the existence of the functional integral for $t < \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$. Indeed, this turns out to be the case. For $t < \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$, $\bar{S}_\omega$ (the $*$ -transform of S_n with $n = \omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$) turns out to be $*$ -positive definite, which allows us to actually compute the integrals.

Proposition 2.1. *For $0 < t < \sqrt{\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\lambda^2 (n+1)^2} \left[1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12 (n+1)^2} \right]}$, S_n is positive definite.*

Proof. An elementary computation shows that for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(2.8) \quad A_n \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \\ \sin \frac{2k\pi}{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \sin \frac{nk\pi}{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \left(2 - 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \\ \sin \frac{2k\pi}{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \sin \frac{nk\pi}{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the n distinct eigenvalues of S_n are $2 - 2 \cos \left(\frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right) - \lambda^2 \left(\frac{t}{n} \right)^2$. To show that S_n is positive definite, it is enough to find the values of t for which the eigenvalues are positive, or $\cos \left(\frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right) < 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 t^2}{2n^2}$. Since $\cos \left(\frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right) \leq \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, it is enough to find t for which $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) < 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 t^2}{2n^2}$. By Taylor

expanding $\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$ about 0 for the first 3 nonzero terms, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) < 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 t^2}{2n^2} \Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2(n+1)^2} + \frac{\pi^4 \cos \eta}{4!(n+1)^4} < 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 t^2}{2n^2} \Leftrightarrow$$

$$t^2 < \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{\lambda^2 (n+1)^2} \left[1 - \frac{\pi^2 \cos \eta}{12(n+1)^2} \right],$$

where $0 < \eta \leq \frac{\pi}{n+1}$. When $t < \sqrt{\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\lambda^2 (n+1)^2} \left[1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12(n+1)^2} \right]}$, we have $t^2 < \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{\lambda^2 (n+1)^2} \left[1 - \frac{\pi^2 \cos \eta}{12(n+1)^2} \right]$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$. For any $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{S}_\omega$ is positive definite in the $*$ -transformed sense.*

Proof. $*$ -transforming Proposition 2.1 and setting $n = \omega$, we have that $\bar{S}_\omega$ is positive definite when

$$(2.10) \quad 0 < t < \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2 \pi^2}{\lambda^2 (\omega+1)^2} \left[1 - \frac{\pi}{12(\omega+1)^2} \right]} = \frac{\pi}{\lambda} + h,$$

where h is infinitesimal. When t is standard and $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$, (2.10) holds. $\square$

From here on, let us take $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$. We will now proceed to separate the functional integral into a classical part and a quantum fluctuation part. Suppose $w(s)$ is an arbitrary path with $|w(s)| < \infty$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$. Furthermore, let $w(0) = q_0$, and $w(t) = q$. We make the substitution $x_j = w\left(\frac{jt}{n+1}\right) + y_j = w_j + y_j$ (notice that $y_0 = 0 = y_{n+1}$ since $w(0) = x_0 = q_0$ and $w(t) = x_{n+1} = q$). Using the fact that T_n is symmetric, we have

$$(2.11) \quad x^t T_n x = (y + w)^t T_n (y + w) = w^t T_n w + y^t T_n y + w^t T_n y + y^t T_n w =$$

$$w^t T_n w + y^t T_n y + (T_n w)^t y + (w^t T_n y)^t = w^t T_n w + y^t T_n y + (T_n w)^t y + w^t T_n y =$$

$$w^t T_n w + y^t T_n y + 2(T_n w)^t y$$

By using $y_0 = 0 = y_{n+1}$ and writing T_n as

$$(2.12) \quad T_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & S_n & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad x^t T_n x = w^t T_n w + y^t T_n y + 2 (T_n w)^t y = w^t T_n w + \hat{y}^t S_n \hat{y} + 2 \rho^t \hat{y},$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \\ w_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\rho = S_n \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_{n-1} \\ w_n \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ w_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = S_n \hat{w} - \hat{w}.$$

We then have the following:

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumption on t , let $\epsilon = \frac{t}{n}$, then*

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} \exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 - \frac{m}{2} \lambda^2 x_j^2 \right] \right] dx_1 \dots dx_n =$$

$$\exp \left[\frac{im}{\hbar \epsilon} (w^t T_n w - \rho^t S_n^{-1} \rho) \right] \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\det S_n}}.$$

Proof. Since S_n is positive definite, it is invertible. Since S_n is symmetric, the following holds

$$(2.16) \quad \hat{y}^t S_n \hat{y} + 2 \rho^t \hat{y} = (\hat{y} + S_n^{-1} \rho)^t S_n (\hat{y} + S_n^{-1} \rho) - \rho^t S_n^{-1} \rho.$$

Using our shorten notation in (2.13) and (2.16), the integrals in (2.15) is equivalent to

$$(2.17) \quad \exp \left[\frac{im}{\hbar \epsilon} (w^t T_n w - \rho^t S_n^{-1} \rho) \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} \exp \left(\frac{im}{2\hbar \epsilon} z^t S_n z \right) dz_1 \dots dz_n.$$

In obtaining (2.17), we performed the change of variables $x_j = w_j + y_j$, and then from $y_j + (S_n^{-1} \rho)_j = z_j$. We get (2.15) after diagonalizing S_n and doing the decoupled integrals. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Under the previous definition of $w(s)$, The 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator internal functional integral is well defined and it is equal to*

$$(2.18) \quad \exp \left[\frac{im}{\hbar \epsilon} (\bar{w}^t \bar{T}_\omega \bar{w} - \bar{\rho}^t \bar{S}_\omega^{-1} \bar{\rho}) \right] \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\det \bar{S}_\omega}}.$$

where the bars denote $*$ -transform.

Proof. This is just the $*$ -transform lemma 2.3. $\square$

Remark 2.1. There is no restriction on the choice of the path w except that it starts at q_0 and ends at q . We will show that the exponential part of (2.18) turns out to be the classical amplitude of the previous formal calculation of the propagator and the other factor is the quantum fluctuation. If we choose $\bar{w}$ to be the $*$ -transform of the classical path, it can be shown that each entry in $\bar{\rho}$ is infinitesimal, and $\frac{\bar{w}^t \bar{T}_\omega \bar{w}}{\epsilon}$ is infinitesimally close to $\frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t} [(q_0^2 + q^2) \cos \lambda t - 2qq_0]$.

There are many techniques to compute the quantum fluctuation $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon \det S_n}}$ in the literature; we present a rigorous method to compute the limit with Nonstandard Analysis by computing $st \sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon \det \bar{S}_\omega}}$, for $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\epsilon = \frac{t}{n}$. Denote $A_{j,n}$ and $C_{j,n}$ with $0 < j \leq n$ to be the j by j matrices given by

$$(2.19) \quad A_{j,n} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$C_{j,n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $D_{j,n} = \det \|A_{j,n} - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 C_n\|$. After $*$ -transforming, we have that for $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{D}_{k,\omega} \approx {}^*\cos(\frac{kt\lambda}{\omega}) = {}^*\cos(k\epsilon\lambda)$.

Proof. For $k = 1$, $A_{1,\omega} = (1)$, $C_{1,\omega} = (0)$ and $D_{1,\omega} = 1$. For $k = 2$,

$$(2.20) \quad \bar{A}_{2,\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{C}_{2,\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\bar{D}_{2,\omega} = 1 - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2$. Hence, the claim is true for $k = 1, 2$. We expand $D_{j,n}$ on the top row, and get the recursion relation

$$(2.21) \quad D_{j,n} = (2 - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2) D_{j-1,n} - D_{j-2,n}, \quad 2 < j \leq n$$

Since we are interested in $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$, we will consider the cases for which $4 - (2 - \lambda^2 \epsilon^2)^2 > 0$. To solve the difference equation, we substitute $D_{j,n} = Aa^{j-1}$ into (2.21) and get $a^2 - (2 - \lambda^2 \epsilon^2)a + 1 = 0$ with solutions

$$(2.22) \quad a_{\pm} = \frac{(2 - \lambda^2 \epsilon^2) \pm i\sqrt{4 - (2 - \lambda^2 \epsilon^2)^2}}{2}.$$

Both solutions $a_{\pm}$ have norm 1. Thus, we can denote $a_{\pm} = e^{i\pm\theta}$, where $\theta = \arg(a_+) > 0$. Hence,

$$(2.23) \quad D_{j,n} = A^+ \exp\{i(j-1)\theta\} + A^- \exp\{-i(j-1)\theta\}.$$

Solving for the initial conditions

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} D_1 &= 1 = A^+ + A^- \\ D_2 &= 1 - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 = A^+ a_+ + A^- a_-, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$(2.25) \quad A^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm i \left(\frac{\lambda \epsilon}{2\sqrt{4 - \lambda^2 \epsilon^2}} \right).$$

We now proceed to get an estimate for θ . By definition of θ , $\cos \theta = 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \epsilon^2}{2}$. After expanding the left-hand side about 0, we get $1 - \frac{\theta^2}{2} \cos \eta = 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \epsilon^2}{2}$, $0 < \eta \leq \theta$. To estimate θ by $\lambda \epsilon$, we write $\theta = \lambda \epsilon + \phi$, and obtain

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{(\lambda \epsilon + \phi)^2}{2} \cos \eta &= 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \epsilon^2}{2}, \quad \Rightarrow \\ \phi &= -\lambda \epsilon \pm \frac{\lambda \epsilon}{\sqrt{\cos \eta}}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi = -\lambda \epsilon + \frac{\lambda \epsilon}{\sqrt{\cos \eta}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last implication is due to $\theta > 0$. Thus, $\theta = \lambda \epsilon - \lambda \epsilon \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos \eta}} \right)$.

By *-transforming the above and setting $j = k, n = \omega, 2 < k \leq \omega, \omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$(2.27) \quad \epsilon = \frac{t}{\omega} \approx 0, \quad \theta, \eta \approx 0, \quad 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{{}^*\cos \eta}} \approx 0, \quad A^+ \approx A^- \approx \frac{1}{2}.$$

Equation (2.27) and (2.23) implies that

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{D}_{k,\omega} &\approx {}^*\cos\{(k-1)\theta\} = \\ &{}^*\cos\left\{\left(k\lambda\epsilon - \theta - k\lambda\epsilon\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{{}^*\cos \eta}}\right)\right)\right\} \approx {}^*\cos(k\lambda\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

In the last $\approx$ in (2.28), we used the fact that the cosine function is uniformly continuous, which translates into ${}^*\cos x \approx {}^*\cos y$ whenever $x \approx y$ in the language of Nonstandard Analysis. $\square$

With the aid of Proposition 2.5, we can show the following:

Theorem 2.6. $\epsilon \det \bar{S}_\omega$ is infinitesimally close to $\frac{\sin(\lambda t)}{\lambda}$.

Proof. As before, we will use bars to denote the $*$ -transform of determinate of matrices. Let $S_{j,n} = \det \|A_{j,n} - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 B_{j,n}\|$, where $A_{j,n}$ is j by j matrix as defined in (2.19), $B_{j,n}$ is the j by j identity matrix, $\epsilon = \frac{t}{n}$, and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Notice that $S_{n,n} = \det \|S_n\|$. Expanding $S_{j,n}$ and $D_{j,n}$ on the bottom row, we get the recursion relation $S_{j,n} = D_{j,n} + (1 - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2) S_{j-1,n}$, or equivalently, $S_{j,n} - S_{j-1,n} = D_{j,n} - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 S_{j-1,n}$. Summing the last equality gives

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{n,n} - S_{1,n} &= \sum_{j=2}^n D_{j,n} - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \sum_{j=2}^n S_{j-1,n}, \quad \Rightarrow \\ \epsilon S_{n,n} &= \epsilon S_{1,n} + \sum_{j=2}^n \epsilon D_{j,n} - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \sum_{j=2}^n \epsilon S_{j-1,n}. \end{aligned}$$

From the recursion relation, we also get that for $j \geq 3$, $|S_{j-1,n}| \leq \left(\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} |D_{k,n}| \right) + |S_{1,n}|$.

We now $*$ -transform the second equation in (2.29) and write from proposition (2.5) $\bar{D}_{m,\omega} = {}^* \cos(m\epsilon\lambda) + h_m$, where h_m is infinitesimal. We get

$$(2.30) \quad \epsilon \det \bar{S}_\omega = \epsilon \bar{S}_{1,\omega} + \sum_{m=1}^{\omega} \epsilon {}^* \cos\left(\frac{mt\lambda}{\omega}\right) - \epsilon {}^* \cos(\epsilon\lambda) + \epsilon \sum_{m=2}^{\omega} h_m - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \sum_{m=2}^{\omega} \epsilon \bar{S}_{m-1,\omega}.$$

The set $\{h_m | 1 \leq m \leq \omega\}$ is an internal set, so $\max_{1 \leq m \leq \omega} h_m \in \{h_m | 1 \leq m \leq \omega\}$ and it is an infinitesimal. Thus, $\epsilon \sum_{m=2}^{\omega} h_m \leq \left(\frac{t}{\omega}\right) \omega \max_{1 \leq m \leq \omega} h_m \approx 0$. From the bound on $|S_{j-1,n}|$, we get

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} |\epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \sum_{m=2}^{\omega} \epsilon \bar{S}_{m-1,\omega}| &\leq \epsilon^3 \lambda^2 |\bar{S}_{1,\omega}| + \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \left\{ \sum_{m=3}^{\omega} \epsilon \left[\left(\sum_{k=2}^{m-1} |\bar{D}_{k,\omega}| \right) + |\bar{S}_{1,\omega}| \right] \right\} < \\ \epsilon^3 \lambda^2 |\bar{S}_{1,\omega}| + \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \left[\left(\sum_{m=2}^{\omega} 2t \right) + t |\bar{S}_{1,\omega}| \right] &\approx 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the limit of Riemann sums in the language of Nonstandard Analysis, we have $\sum_{m=1}^{\omega} \epsilon {}^* \cos\left(\frac{mt\lambda}{\omega}\right) \approx \int_0^t \cos(\lambda s) ds = \frac{\sin(\lambda t)}{\lambda}$. Since the other 2 terms in (2.30) are also infinitesimals, the result follows. $\square$

We are now ready to derive the classical amplitude from the exponential in (2.18) by using results from theorem 2.6.

Proposition 2.7. *The exponential in (2.18) satisfies the following*

$$(2.32) \quad \exp \left[\frac{im}{\hbar \epsilon} (\bar{w}^t \bar{T}_\omega \bar{w} - \bar{\rho}^t \bar{S}_\omega^{-1} \bar{\rho}) \right] \approx \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{\hbar} \frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t} [(q_0^2 + q^2) \cos \lambda t - 2qq_0] \right\},$$

where $q_0 = x_0$, and $q = x_{\omega+1}$

Proof. From the definition of ρ, w, T_n and S_n^{-1} in (2.12) – (2.14), we multiply out $\rho^t S_n^{-1} \rho$ and express it in terms of $w^t T_n w$.

(2.32)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^t S_n^{-1} \rho &= \left(\hat{w}^t S_n - \hat{w}^t \right) S_n^{-1} \left(S_n \hat{w} - \hat{w} \right) = \left(\hat{w}^t - \hat{w}^t S_n^{-1} \right) \left(S_n \hat{w} - \hat{w} \right) = \\ &= \hat{w}^t S_n \hat{w} - \hat{w}^t \hat{w} - \hat{w}^t \hat{w} + \hat{w}^t S_n^{-1} \hat{w} = w^t T_n w - w_0^2 - (1 - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2) w_{n+1}^2 + \\ &+ w_0^2 (\bar{S}_n^{-1})_{11} + w_0 w_{n+1} (\bar{S}_n^{-1})_{1n} + w_0 w_{n+1} (\bar{S}_n^{-1})_{n1} + w_{n+1}^2 (\bar{S}_n^{-1})_{nn} \end{aligned}$$

After $*$ -transforming (2.32), we get

(2.33)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\bar{w}^t \bar{T}_\omega \bar{w} - \bar{\rho}^t \bar{S}_\omega^{-1} \bar{\rho} \right) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\bar{w}_0^2 + (1 - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2) \bar{w}_{\omega+1}^2 - \bar{w}_0^2 (\bar{S}_\omega^{-1})_{11} - \\ &- \bar{w}_{\omega+1}^2 (\bar{S}_\omega^{-1})_{\omega\omega} - \bar{w}_0 \bar{w}_{\omega+1} (\bar{S}_\omega^{-1})_{1\omega} - \bar{w}_0 \bar{w}_{\omega+1} (\bar{S}_\omega^{-1})_{\omega 1}] = \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[q_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{S}_{\omega-1, \omega}}{\bar{S}_{\omega, \omega}} \right) + q^2 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{S}_{\omega-1, \omega}}{\bar{S}_{\omega, \omega}} \right) - 2qq_0 \frac{(-1)^{\omega+1} (-1)^{\omega-1}}{\bar{S}_{\omega, \omega}} - \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 q^2 \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon \det \bar{S}_\omega} [q_0^2 (\bar{S}_{\omega, \omega} - \bar{S}_{\omega-1, \omega}) + q^2 (\bar{S}_{\omega, \omega} - \bar{S}_{\omega-1, \omega}) - 2qq_0] - \epsilon \lambda^2 q^2 \approx \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t} [(q_0^2 + q^2) \cos \lambda t - 2qq_0] . \end{aligned}$$

In the third line above, we used $*$ -Cramer's rule and our previous definition of $\bar{S}_{k, \omega}$. The factor $(-1)^{\omega+1}$ comes from a cofactor expansion in the numerator of Cramer's rule for $(S_\omega^{-1})_{1\omega}$ and $(S_\omega^{-1})_{\omega 1}$; the factor $(-1)^{\omega-1}$ comes from the determinate of a triangular matrix with -1 's along the diagonal after the latter expansion. In the fourth line, we used results from Theorem 2; namely, $\bar{S}_{\omega, \omega} = \det \bar{S}_\omega$, $\epsilon \det \bar{S}_\omega \approx \frac{\sin \lambda t}{\lambda}$, and $\bar{S}_{\omega, \omega} - \bar{S}_{\omega-1, \omega} \approx \bar{D}_{\omega, \omega} \approx \cos \lambda t$. $\square$

Notice that in (2.33), the end result dependent only on the endpoints of the path w . It does not matter which path is choosen as long as it starts at q and ends at q_0 . Hence, it is not necessary to use the classical path x^{cl} to do the computation.

Theorem 2.8. For $t < \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$, the one dimensional harmonic oscillator standard functional integral is given by

$$(2.34) \quad \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t}} \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{\hbar} \frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t} [(q_0^2 + q^2) \cos \lambda t - 2qq_0] \right\} .$$

Proof. This follows from corollary 2.4, theorem 2.6, and proposition 2.7. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *For the d -dimensional harmonic oscillator standard functional integral, we have*

$$(2.35) \quad st \left\{ \int_{*\mathbb{R}^{d\omega}} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}(\omega+1)} * \right. \\ \left. exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{\omega+1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 - \frac{m}{2} \lambda^2 x_j^2 \right] \right] dx_1 \dots dx_\omega \right\} = \\ \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} exp \left\{ \frac{im}{\hbar} \frac{\lambda}{\sin \lambda t} \left[\left(\vec{q}_0^2 + \vec{q}^2 \right) \cos \lambda t - 2\vec{q}\vec{q}_0 \right] \right\}.$$

Proof. Follows from factoring (2.35) into products of one dimensional harmonic oscillator standard functional integrals and theorem 2.8. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Cameron, *A Family of Integrals Serving to Connect The Wiener and Feynman Integrals*, J. Math. and Phys. 39, 126-141 (1961).
- [2] R. Cameron, *The Ilstow and Feynman Integrals*, J. Anal. Math 10, 287-361 (1962/1963).
- [3] J. Glimm, and A. Jaffe, *Quantum Physics, A Functional Integral Point of View, 2nd. Ed.*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [4] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Functional Analysis I and II*, Academic Press, 1980.
- [5] S. Albeverio, J. Fenstat, R. Høegh-Krohn, and T. Lindstrøm, *Nonstandard Methods in Stochastic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, Academic Press, 1986.
- [6] K. Loo, *A Rigorous Real Time Feynman Path Integral*, J. Math. Phys. 40, 1, 1999, 64-70.
- [7] H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, and Polymer Physics*, World Scientific, 1995.
- [8] I. M. Gelfand and A. M. Yaglom, *Integration in Functional Spaces*, J. Math. Phys. , 1, 48-49, (1960).
- [9] L. Schulman, *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration*, John Wiley and Son, 1981.
- [10] S. Zelditch, *Reconstruction of singularities for Solutions of Schrodinger's Equation*, Commun. Math. Phys. 93, 1-26 (1984).

PO BOX 9160, PORTLAND, OR. 97207

E-mail address: look@sdf.lonestar.org